Enhanced Services for the Hard-to-Employ Project

Transitional Jobs for Ex-Prisoners:

Two-Year Results from a Random Assignment Evaluation of the Center for Employment Opportunities (CEO)

PAA Annual Research Conference April 30, 2009 Detroit Michigan Presentation by: Janine Zweig, The Urban Institute Authors: Bloom, Redcross, Zweig

MDRC

Prisoner Reentry

- Four-fold increase in U.S. incarceration rate since the 1970s.
- More than 2 million currently incarcerated; nearly 700,000 released from prison per year.
- Recidivism rates are high: two-thirds rearrested, half re-incarcerated within 3 years.

Reentry and Employment

- Wide range of barriers to successful reentry, but most experts believe employment is crucial.
- Two types of labor market challenges:
 - Characteristics that make ex-prisoners hard-to-employ (limited work and education, health problems, etc.)
 - Additional impact of incarceration (legal barriers, employer discrimination).
- Few proven employment models for this group.

Enhanced Services for the Hard to Employ Project

- Funded by ACF and ASPE (with DOL) to test promising employment strategies for groups facing serious barriers to steady work.
- Led by MDRC, with Urban Institute and other partners.
- Four sites, each targeting a different type of hardto-employ population; like four separate studies.

The Center for Employment Opportunities (CEO)

- One of the largest and best known employment programs for ex-prisoners.
- Started by the Vera Institute of Justice; independent since 1996.
- Serves approximately 2,000 parolees annually.
- Uses a transitional jobs (TJ) model; TJ widely seen as promising for ex-prisoners:
 - Source of legitimate income in critical post-release period.
 - Opportunity for staff to identify, correct workplace issues.

The CEO Model: Immediate Work

- Participants placed in CEO work crews (TJs) within one week after enrollment; supervised by CEO staff.
- Work 4 days/week; paid daily.
- Day 5: Meetings with job coach, job developer, fatherhood program, etc.
- When deemed "job ready," receive help finding permanent job, then retention services after placement.
- Participation in CEO is voluntary for most, but meets a parole condition to seek or maintain employment.

The CEO Evaluation

- During 2004-2005, ex-prisoners who showed up at CEO were assigned, at random, to:
 - Program group: Regular CEO program, or
 - Control group: Limited job search assistance.
- Random assignment only in weeks when there were more participants than slots.
- Sample size: 977 (P: 568; C: 409)

The CEO Evaluation: Target Group

Evaluation targets a subset of CEO's population: parolees who showed up at CEO after referral by regular parole officer.
Other key CEO populations in special programs were not included in the study for contractual reasons.

Data Now Available

Baseline data.

- Quarterly UI-covered earnings from NY State and Nat'l Directory of New Hires.
- Criminal justice data: arrests, convictions in NYS; incarceration in NYS prisons and NYC jails.
- Client survey (n=531; response rate=68%).
 Child support enforcement data.
 Data from CEO MIS and payroll system.

Characteristics of Participants

Average age: 33

- Over half are fathers; few live with children.
- 2/3 African-American; 1/3 Latino.
- 43% no high school diploma or GED.
- 81% ever worked.
- Average of 7 prior convictions; 5 years in prison.
- Time since release:
 - 40% came to CEO within 3 months after release from state prison; model was designed for them.
 - 60% came to CEO longer after release (not expected).

Participation in CEO Activities

Good test:

- 79% of program group completed initial 4-day life skills class.
- 72% of program group worked in CEO crew (TJ).
- Average of 8 weeks in TJ

Impacts on Employment and Recidivism: Background

- Currently have 2 years of follow-up (3 planned)
- CEO TJs are included in UI data.
- Statistical significance levels: *=10% **=5% ***=1%
- In addition to full sample, two groups are studied:
 - <u>Recently released ex-prisoners</u>: enrolled within 3 months after release (n=377; P=222, C=155)
 - <u>Not recently released ex-prisoners</u>: enrolled more than 3 months after release (n=551; P=314, C=237)

Summary of Impacts

- Large but short-lived increase in employment; driven by TJs.
- Decreases in several measures of recidivism in Year 1 and Year 2.

 In Year 1, decreases in recidivism only for recently-released sample members; in Year 2, CEO also reduced recidivism for those not recently released.

Percent employed in UI-covered jobs



Two-year impacts on recidivism

Outcome (%)	Program group	Control group	Difference
Arrested	37.3	41.8	-4.1
Convicted of a crime	30.5	38.3	-7.7**
Convicted of a violent crime	4.4	5.8	-1.5
Incarcerated in jail or prison	49.5	55.4	-5.9*
Incarcerated in prison	25.3	27.2	-2.4
Incarcerated in prison for new crime	4.2	6.8	-2.6*
	MDRC		15

Selected recidivism Impacts by year

Outcome (%)		Program Group	Control Group	Differenc e
Arrested:	Year 1	21.7	22.9	-1.3
	Year 2	22.8	27.5	-4.6*
Convicted of a crime:	Year 1	15.5	17.3	-1.8
	Year 2	20.2	26.3	-6.1**
Convicted of a felony:	Year 1	1.4	3.1	-1.7*
	Year 2	5.2	4.5	0.7
Incarcerated in prison, new crime: Year 1		0.8	3.0	-2.2**
	Year 2	3.4	3.8	-0.4

Recidivism: Subgroup Findings

Recently released group:

- Year 1: Significant decreases in felony convictions and incarceration in state prison.
- Year 2: Mixed: Decrease in violent crime convictions, increase in felony convictions, no impacts on incarceration.

Not recently released group:

- Year 1: No significant impacts on recidivism measures.
- Year 2: Decreases in felony convictions, incarceration in prison for new crimes.

Coming Attractions

- Report in early 2009, including results presented here, plus additional data (e.g., job characteristics, child support, program costs).
- Report in 2010 with three years of follow-up.
- The Joyce Foundation has developed another 5site RA evaluation of TJ programs in Midwest; results in 2010.